

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.asylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,633	02/14/2001	Steven R. Bailey	6006-009	2694
29335 ROSENBALIN	7590 06/02/200 4 & ASSOCIATES, P.C	EXAMINER		
650 DUNDEE ROAD			MILLER, CHERYL L	
SUITE #380 NORTHBROG	OK, IL 60062		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

scotugno@biopatentlaw.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/783,633	BAILEY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHERYL MILLER	3738	

	CHERYL MILLER	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office taking ray reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
	b. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	\ //						
= '	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>68-76</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will not	he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Corrine M McDermott/	(0)						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738	/Cheryl Miller/ Examiner, Art Unit 3738						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes new subject matter including reversion of geometry after removal of force. The proposed amendment is a new issue that requires updated search and consideration with respect to the prior art.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment if submitted officially, seemingly overcomes the current 112 Ist rejection and claim objection. Applicant has not provided where in peepfication is supported the proposed amendment of reversion of geometry upon removal of force, this may be a potential new matter issue if applicant can not provide support for this limitation. The examiner disagrees with applicants argument that a melting temperature can not be considered a transitional temperature. Clearly a material changes form or transitions when it melts at a certain temperature thus it is the examiners position that this is a "transition temperature". Whether or not the currently applied prior and fiscioses reverture back to a first geometry upon removal of force has not yet been considered as such is a new issue. Applicant has requested an interview in the response which will be granted. Applicant is invited to contact the examiner by phone to set up a time to interview the application.